# **Convex Optimization**

(EE227A: UC Berkeley)

## Lecture 16 (Proximal methods)

#### 14 March, 2013

## Suvrit Sra

## Organizational

- ♠ HW3 will be released later today on bSpace
- ♠ Midterm to be out sometime on 18th
- ♠ HW2 solutions to be out before midterm released
- ♠ 19th March review session to recap important material
- ▲ 21st March, 2013 midterm due beginning of class.

#### **Revisiting Gradient Projection**

$\min$	f(x)	$x \in \mathcal{X}$
--------	------	---------------------

#### **Gradient projection**

$$x^{k+1} = P(x^k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x^k))$$

where P denotes orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{X}$ .

## **Revisiting Gradient Projection**

 $\min \quad f(x) \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$ 

#### **Gradient projection**

$$x^{k+1} = P(x^k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x^k))$$

where P denotes orthogonal projection onto  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{X}}.$ 

- ▶ Mimic unconstrained case proof
- Hinges on firm nonexpansivity of P

 $\blacktriangleright$  Also key: stationarity property  $x^* = P(x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x^*))$ 

Lemma If  $x^*$  is optimal for problem, then  $x^* = P(x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x^*))$ 

- ▶ Denote  $g^* \equiv \nabla f(x^*)$  as before.
- ▶ Optimality condition:  $\langle g^*, x x^* \rangle \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .
- ▶ Optimality for proj:  $z = Py \implies \langle z y, x z \rangle \ge 0 \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$

► Plug 
$$z \leftarrow x^*$$
, and  $y \leftarrow x^* - \alpha g^*$ ,  
 $\langle x^* - y, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0 \implies \langle x^* - x^* + \alpha g^*, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0$   
 $\implies \langle \alpha g^*, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0$   
 $\implies \langle g^*, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0$   
 $\implies x^*$  is optimal.

Now we show that 
$$||x^{k+1} - x^*||_2 \le ||x^k - x^*||_2$$

Shorthand:  $u \equiv x^{k+1}$ ,  $x \equiv x^k$ ,  $g \equiv \nabla f(x^k)$ 

Now we show that 
$$||x^{k+1} - x^*||_2 \le ||x^k - x^*||_2$$

Shorthand:  $u \equiv x^{k+1}$ ,  $x \equiv x^k$ ,  $g \equiv \nabla f(x^k)$ 

$$\begin{split} \|u - x^*\|_2^2 &= \|P(x - \alpha g) - P(x^* - \alpha g^*)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|x - x^* - \alpha (g - g^*)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|x - x^*\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \|g - g^*\|_2^2 - 2\alpha \langle g - g^*, x - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq \|x - x^*\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \|g - g^*\|_2^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{L} \|g - g^*\|_2^2 \\ &= \|x - x^*\|_2^2 + \alpha (\alpha - \frac{2}{L}) \|g - g^*\|_2^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - \frac{1}{L} \|g - g^*\|_2^2 \quad (\text{if } \alpha = 1/L). \end{split}$$

Thus, we have in particular,  $r_{k+1} \leq r_k \leq r_0$ 

Now we show that 
$$f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) - \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{I}\|_2^2$$

Now we show that 
$$f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) - \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{I}\|_2^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &\leq f(x) + \langle g, \, u - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \| u - x \|_2^2 \\ &= f(x) + \langle g, \, P(x - \alpha g) - Px \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \| u - x \|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $||Pa - Pb||_2^2 \leq \langle Pa - Pb, a - b \rangle$ . Thus,

$$||P(x - \alpha g) - Px||_2^2 \le \langle P(x - \alpha g) - Px, x - \alpha g - x \rangle$$
  
=  $-\alpha \langle g, P(x - \alpha g) - Px \rangle$   
 $\implies -\alpha^{-1} ||u - x||_2^2 \le \langle g, P(x - \alpha g) - Px \rangle$ 

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &\leq f(x) + \left(\frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \|u - x\|_2^2 \\ &= f(x) - \frac{L}{2} \|P(x - \alpha g) - x\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^k &\geq f^{k+1} + \frac{L}{2} \| P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k \|_2^2 \\ &\implies f^0 - f^* \geq f^{k+1} - f^* + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \| P(x^i - \alpha g^i) - x^i \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^k &\geq f^{k+1} + \frac{L}{2} \| P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k \|_2^2 \\ &\implies f^0 - f^* \geq f^{k+1} - f^* + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \| P(x^i - \alpha g^i) - x^i \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Since lhs is finite, and  $f^{k+1} \geq f^*$  letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f^k &\geq f^{k+1} + \frac{L}{2} \| P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k \|_2^2 \\ &\implies f^0 - f^* \geq f^{k+1} - f^* + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \| P(x^i - \alpha g^i) - x^i \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Since lhs is finite, and  $f^{k+1} \geq f^*$  letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  implies that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k\|_2 = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^k &\geq f^{k+1} + \frac{L}{2} \| P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k \|_2^2 \\ &\implies f^0 - f^* \geq f^{k+1} - f^* + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \| P(x^i - \alpha g^i) - x^i \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Since lhs is finite, and  $f^{k+1} \geq f^*$  letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  implies that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k\|_2 = 0.$$

▶ This is nothing but optimality condition!

$$\begin{aligned} f^k &\geq f^{k+1} + \frac{L}{2} \| P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k \|_2^2 \\ &\implies f^0 - f^* \geq f^{k+1} - f^* + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{i=0}^k \| P(x^i - \alpha g^i) - x^i \|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Since lhs is finite, and  $f^{k+1} \geq f^*$  letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  implies that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|P(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k\|_2 = 0.$$

- ▶ This is nothing but optimality condition!
- ► So far, we did not use convexity!
- ► Rate of convergence O(1/k) using convexity (some more ideas needed though; see notes)

#### Proximal gradients – convergence

#### **Proximal residual**

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\operatorname{prox}_{\alpha r}(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k\|_2 = 0.$$

Proof: Essentially mimics gradient projection case (care needed).

#### Proximal gradients – convergence

#### **Proximal residual**

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\operatorname{prox}_{\alpha r}(x^k - \alpha g^k) - x^k\|_2 = 0.$$

*Proof:* Essentially mimics gradient projection case (care needed).

- ► Rate of convergence using convexity
- Analysis slightly more complicated (see notes)

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gradient method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal gradient method attains  $O(1/k^2)$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gradient method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal gradient method attains  $O(1/k^2)$
- Gradient-projection method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal version of gradient-projection  $O(1/k^2)$

- Gradient method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal gradient method attains  $O(1/k^2)$
- Gradient-projection method converges as O(1/k)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Optimal version of gradient-projection  $O(1/k^2)$
- ▶ Similar situation for strongly convex smooth problems

- Gradient method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal gradient method attains  $O(1/k^2)$
- Gradient-projection method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal version of gradient-projection  $O(1/k^2)$
- Similar situation for strongly convex smooth problems
- $\blacktriangleright$  Proximal-gradients: converges as O(1/k) for  $C^1_L \mbox{ cvx}$
- ▶ Proximal-gradients: nonoptimal linear rate for  $S_{L,\mu}^1$

- Gradient method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal gradient method attains  $O(1/k^2)$
- Gradient-projection method converges as O(1/k)
- ▶ Optimal version of gradient-projection  $O(1/k^2)$
- Similar situation for strongly convex smooth problems
- ▶ Proximal-gradients: converges as O(1/k) for  $C_L^1$  cvx
- ▶ Proximal-gradients: nonoptimal linear rate for  $S_{L,\mu}^1$

Can we obtain optimal proximal-gradient method?

$$\min \quad \ell(x) + r(x)$$

1 Set  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; Let  $z^0 = x^0$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ 

$$\min \quad \ell(x) + r(x)$$

**1** Set 
$$x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
; Let  $z^0 = x^0$ ,  $t_0 = 1$   
**2** *k*-th step  $(k \ge 0)$ 

$$\min \quad \ell(x) + r(x)$$

1 Set 
$$x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
; Let  $z^0 = x^0$ ,  $t_0 = 1$   
2 k-th step  $(k \ge 0)$   
•  $x^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_k r}(y^k - \alpha_k \nabla \ell(y^k))$   
•  $t_{k+1} = (1 + \sqrt{4t_k^2 + 1})/2$   
•  $\lambda_k = (t_{k+1} + t_k - 1)/t_{k+1}$   
•  $y^{k+1} = x^k + \lambda_k (x^{k+1} - x^k)$ 

*Remark:* Achieves  $O(1/k^2)$  optimal rate (assuming Lipschitzness). *Observe:* Compare with optimal gradient method (very similar)

$$\min \quad \ell(x) + r(x)$$

1 Set 
$$x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
; Let  $z^0 = x^0$ ,  $t_0 = 1$   
2 k-th step  $(k \ge 0)$   
•  $x^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_k r}(y^k - \alpha_k \nabla \ell(y^k))$   
•  $t_{k+1} = (1 + \sqrt{4t_k^2 + 1})/2$   
•  $\lambda_k = (t_{k+1} + t_k - 1)/t_{k+1}$   
•  $y^{k+1} = x^k + \lambda_k (x^{k+1} - x^k)$ 

*Remark:* Achieves  $O(1/k^2)$  optimal rate (assuming Lipschitzness). *Observe:* Compare with optimal gradient method (very similar)

More details in notes

## **Set-valued mappings**

Think of  $\partial f$  as a **set-valued map** 

$$\partial f = x \Longrightarrow \partial f(x).$$

## **Set-valued mappings**

Think of  $\partial f$  as a set-valued map

$$\partial f = x \rightrightarrows \partial f(x).$$

**Relation** R is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ 

- ► Empty relation: Ø
- ▶ Identity:  $I := \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$
- ▶ Zero:  $0 := \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$
- ▶ Subdifferential:  $\partial f := \{(x,g) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, g \in \partial f(x)\}$

## **Set-valued mappings**

Think of  $\partial f$  as a set-valued map

$$\partial f = x \rightrightarrows \partial f(x).$$

**Relation** R is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ 

- ► Empty relation: Ø
- ▶ Identity:  $I := \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$
- ▶ Zero:  $0 := \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$
- ▶ Subdifferential:  $\partial f := \{(x,g) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, g \in \partial f(x)\}$
- We write R(x) to mean  $\{y \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ .
- ▶ Example:  $\partial f(x) = \{g \mid (x,g) \in \partial f\}$

#### **Generalized equations**

- ▶ Goal: solve generalized equation  $0 \in R(x)$
- ▶ That is, find  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(x, 0) \in R$

#### **Generalized equations**

- ▶ Goal: solve generalized equation  $0 \in R(x)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  That is, find  $x\in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(x,0)\in R$
- **Example:** Say  $R \equiv \partial f$ , then goal

$$0 \in R(x) = \partial f(x),$$

means we want to find an x that minimizes f.

▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ 

- ▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Addition:  $R + S := \{(x, y + z) \mid (x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in S\}$
- ▶ Example:  $I + R := \{(x, x + y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$

- ▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Addition:  $R + S := \{(x, y + z) \mid (x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in S\}$
- ▶ Example:  $I + R := \{(x, x + y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Scaling:  $\lambda R = \{(x, \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$

- ▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Addition:  $R + S := \{(x, y + z) \mid (x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in S\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \mathsf{Example:} \ I+R:=\{(x,x+y) \mid (x,y) \in R\}$
- ► Scaling:  $\lambda R = \{(x, \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- **Resolvent:** For relation R with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$S := (I + \lambda R)^{-1}$$

- ▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Addition:  $R + S := \{(x, y + z) \mid (x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in S\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \mathsf{Example:} \ I+R:=\{(x,x+y) \mid (x,y) \in R\}$
- ► Scaling:  $\lambda R = \{(x, \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- **Resolvent:** For relation R with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$S := (I + \lambda R)^{-1}$$

 $\blacktriangleright \ I+\lambda R=\{(x,x+\lambda y)\mid (x,y)\in R\}$ 

- ▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Addition:  $R + S := \{(x, y + z) \mid (x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in S\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \mathsf{Example:} \ I+R:=\{(x,x+y) \mid (x,y) \in R\}$
- ► Scaling:  $\lambda R = \{(x, \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- **Resolvent:** For relation R with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$S := (I + \lambda R)^{-1}$$

► 
$$I + \lambda R = \{(x, x + \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$$
  
►  $S = \{(x + \lambda y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ 

- ▶ Inverse:  $R^{-1} := \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ▶ Addition:  $R + S := \{(x, y + z) \mid (x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in S\}$
- ▶ Example:  $I + R := \{(x, x + y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- ► Scaling:  $\lambda R = \{(x, \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$
- **Resolvent:** For relation R with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$S := (I + \lambda R)^{-1}$$

► 
$$I + \lambda R = \{(x, x + \lambda y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$$
  
►  $S = \{(x + \lambda y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$   
► If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , shorthand  $(x \leftarrow v, y \leftarrow (u - v)/\lambda)$   
 $S := \{(u, v) \mid (u - v)/\lambda \in R(v)\}$ 

**Def.** The set valued operator  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is called **monotone** if

$$\langle R(x) - R(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Def.** The set valued operator  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is called monotone if  $\langle R(x) - R(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$ 

#### Examples:

 $\blacktriangleright$  Any positive semidefinite matrix  $\langle Ax-Ay,\,x-y\rangle\geq 0$ 

**Def.** The set valued operator  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is called **monotone** if

$$\langle R(x) - R(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### Examples:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Any positive semidefinite matrix  $\langle Ax-Ay,\,x-y\rangle\geq 0$
- The subdifferential  $\partial f$  of a convex function (verify!)

Def. The set valued operator  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is called monotone if

$$\langle R(x) - R(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### Examples:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Any positive semidefinite matrix  $\langle Ax-Ay,\,x-y\rangle\geq 0$
- The subdifferential  $\partial f$  of a convex function (verify!)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Any monotonically nondecreasing function  $T:\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$

**Def.** The set valued operator  $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is called **monotone** if

$$\langle R(x) - R(y), x - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### Examples:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Any positive semidefinite matrix  $\langle Ax-Ay,\,x-y\rangle\geq 0$
- The subdifferential  $\partial f$  of a convex function (verify!)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Any monotonically nondecreasing function  $T:\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$
- ▶ Projection and proximity operators (recall firm nonexpansivity)

Generalize notion of monotonicity to vector world

**Exercise:** Prove  $\alpha R$  monotone if R monotone and  $\alpha \geq 0$ **Exercise:** Prove  $R^{-1}$  monotone, if R is monotone **Exercise:** If R, S monotone, and  $\alpha \geq 0$ , then  $R + \alpha S$  is monotone.

**Exercise:** Prove  $\alpha R$  monotone if R monotone and  $\alpha \geq 0$ **Exercise:** Prove  $R^{-1}$  monotone, if R is monotone **Exercise:** If R, S monotone, and  $\alpha \geq 0$ , then  $R + \alpha S$  is monotone.

Corollary: Resolvent operator of monotone operator is monotone.

Solve generalized equation

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

Solve generalized equation

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

**Theorem** The solutions to the generalized equation coincide with points that satisfy the resolvent equation  $x = (I + \alpha R)^{-1}(x)$ 

Solve generalized equation

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

**Theorem** The solutions to the generalized equation coincide with points that satisfy the resolvent equation  $x = (I + \alpha R)^{-1}(x)$ 

#### **Proof:**

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

Solve generalized equation

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

**Theorem** The solutions to the generalized equation coincide with points that satisfy the resolvent equation  $x = (I + \alpha R)^{-1}(x)$ 

#### **Proof:**

 $0\in R(x)\leftrightarrow 0\in \alpha R(x)$ 

Solve generalized equation

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

**Theorem** The solutions to the generalized equation coincide with points that satisfy the resolvent equation  $x = (I + \alpha R)^{-1}(x)$ 

#### **Proof:**

$$0\in R(x)\leftrightarrow 0\in \alpha R(x)\leftrightarrow x\in (I+\alpha R)(x)$$

Solve generalized equation

 $0 \in R(x)$ 

**Theorem** The solutions to the generalized equation coincide with points that satisfy the resolvent equation  $x = (I + \alpha R)^{-1}(x)$ 

#### **Proof:**

$$0 \in R(x) \leftrightarrow 0 \in \alpha R(x) \leftrightarrow x \in (I + \alpha R)(x) \leftrightarrow x = (I + \alpha R)^{-1}(x)$$

**Theorem** Let f be a closed convex function, and  $\lambda > 0$ , then

$$(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(y).$$

**Theorem** Let f be a closed convex function, and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(y).$ 

• Suppose  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}$  is single valued ( $\partial f$  is monotone)

**Theorem** Let f be a closed convex function, and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(y).$ 

- Suppose  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}$  is single valued ( $\partial f$  is monotone)
- ▶ Then,  $x = (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) \implies y \in (I + \lambda \partial f)(x)$

**Theorem** Let f be a closed convex function, and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) = \text{prox}_{\lambda f}(y).$ 

- Suppose  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}$  is single valued ( $\partial f$  is monotone)
- ▶ Then,  $x = (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) \implies y \in (I + \lambda \partial f)(x)$
- ▶ That is,  $y \in x + \lambda \partial f(x)$

**Theorem** Let f be a closed convex function, and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(y).$ 

- ► Suppose  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}$  is single valued ( $\partial f$  is monotone)
- ▶ Then,  $x = (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(y) \implies y \in (I + \lambda \partial f)(x)$

▶ That is, 
$$y \in x + \lambda \partial f(x)$$

• Equivalently, 
$$x - y + \lambda \partial f(x) \ni 0$$

**Theorem** Let f be a closed convex function, and  $\lambda>0,$  then  $(I+\lambda\partial f)^{-1}(y)=\mathrm{prox}_{\lambda f}(y).$ 

- Suppose  $(I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}$  is single valued ( $\partial f$  is monotone)
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ \, {\rm Then}, \ x=(I+\lambda\partial f)^{-1}(y) \implies y\in (I+\lambda\partial f)(x)$

▶ That is, 
$$y \in x + \lambda \partial f(x)$$

- Equivalently,  $x y + \lambda \partial f(x) \ni 0$
- ▶ Nothing other than optimality condition for prox-operator!

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f}(y) \equiv y \mapsto \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||x - y||_{2}^{2} + \lambda f(x)$$

min  $\ell(x) + \lambda r(x)$ 

min  $\ell(x) + \lambda r(x)$ 

$$0 \in \nabla \ell(x) + \lambda \partial r(x)$$

min 
$$\ell(x) + \lambda r(x)$$

$$0 \in \nabla \ell(x) + \lambda \partial r(x)$$

$$x \in \nabla \ell(x) + (I + \lambda \partial r)(x)$$

min 
$$\ell(x) + \lambda r(x)$$

$$0 \in \nabla \ell(x) + \lambda \partial r(x)$$
  

$$x \in \nabla \ell(x) + (I + \lambda \partial r)(x)$$
  

$$x - \nabla \ell(x) \in (I + \lambda \partial r)(x)$$
  

$$x = (I + \lambda \partial r)^{-1}(x - \nabla \ell(x))$$

min 
$$\ell(x) + \lambda r(x)$$

$$0 \in \nabla \ell(x) + \lambda \partial r(x)$$

$$x \in \nabla \ell(x) + (I + \lambda \partial r)(x)$$

$$x - \nabla \ell(x) \in (I + \lambda \partial r)(x)$$

$$x = (I + \lambda \partial r)^{-1}(x - \nabla \ell(x))$$

$$x = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda r}(x - \nabla \ell(x))$$

#### **Douglas-Rachford**

## f(x) + g(x)

If both f, g nonsmooth, ordinary splitting does not work!

How to solve it?

#### References

- S. Boyd. EE364B Lecture slides
- A Yu. Nesterov. Introductory Lectures on Convex Optimization
- ♠ F. Dinuzzo. Lecture slides on large scale optimization