Optimization for Machine Learning

Lecture 18: Geometric Optimization — II

6.881: MIT

Suvrit Sra Massachusetts Institute of Technology

April 29, 2021



Non-convex example

(not g-convex either)

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning (4/29/21 Lecture 18) Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)



Gaussian mixture models





$$p(x) = \sum_{k} \pi_k \text{Gaussian}(x; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$$

Aim: Given training data $x_1, ..., x_n$, estimate μ_k , Σ_k

Expectation maximization (EM): the default choice

Google Scholar	em algorithm	
Articles	About 4,000,000 results (0.03 sec)	
Any time Since 2020 Since 2019 Since 2016 Custom range	Aximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data Via the EM Algorithm <u>P Dempster</u> , NM Laird Journal of the Royal, 1977 - Wiley Online Library broadly applicable algorithm for computing maximum likelihood estimates from incomplet lata is presented at various levels of generality. Theory showing the monotone behaviour of the likelihood and convergence of the algorithm is derived. Many examples are sketched D Cited by 60305 Related articles All 67 versions	

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning

EM algorithm

Assume
$$p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j p(x; \theta_j)$$
 is mixture density.
 $\ell(\mathcal{X}; \Theta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j p(x_i; \theta_j)\right).$
Use convexity of $-\log t$ to compute lower-bound
 $\ell(\mathcal{X}; \Theta) \ge \sum_{ij} \beta_{ij} \ln\left(\pi_j p(x_i; \theta_j) / \beta_{ij}\right).$

E-Step:
$$\beta_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_i | \Sigma_k)}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_i | \Sigma_j)}$$

(generic step, nothing special about Gaussians used here)

EM algorithm

Assume $p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j p(x; \theta_j)$ is mixture density. $\ell(\mathcal{X}; \Theta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j p(x_i; \theta_j)\right).$ Use convexity of $-\log t$ to compute lower-bound $\ell(\mathcal{X}; \Theta) \ge \sum_{ij} \beta_{ij} \ln\left(\pi_j p(x_i; \theta_j) / \beta_{ij}\right).$

$$\max_{\Sigma_1,\ldots,\Sigma_K} \sum_{ij} \beta_{ij} \log \left(\pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_i | \Sigma_j) / \beta_{ij} \right)$$

M-step:

Breaks up into K "weighted" concave MLE problems that admit a closed-form solution, making EM for Gaussians attractive.

$$\Sigma_{k} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} \beta_{ik}} \sum_{i} \beta_{ik} x_{i} x_{i}^{T}$$
 PSD by construction

Optimizing GMM log-likelihood

- Nonconvex difficult, possibly several local optima
- Theory Recent progress (Moitra, Valiant 2010; Daskalakis et al, 2017; more!)
- In Practice EM still default: reasons not just "beliefs"!

Key challenge: How to incorporate the positive definiteness constraint on Σ_k



[Hosseini, Sra NIPS 2015]

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning

Naive use of Riemannian opt. fails!

K	EM	Manopt
2	17s # 29.28	947s # 29.28
5	202s # 32.07	5262s # 32.07
10	2159s # 33.05	17712s # 33.03

Showing "time / negative log-likelihood (avg)"

manopt.org Riemannian opt. toolbox



Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning

Revisiting 1 component MLE



$$-\frac{n}{2}\log\det\Sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu)^T\Sigma^{-1}(x_i - \mu)$$

Euclidean convex problem (M-step of EM uses this!) **Not** geodesically convex



Reformulate as g-convex

$$y_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{i} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma + \mu \mu^{T} & \mu \\ \mu^{T} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\max_{S \succ 0} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(S) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log q_{\mathcal{N}}(y_{i}; S),$$

Thm. The modified log-likelihood is g-convex. Local max of modified mixture LL is local max of original.

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu) 6.

Reaping the benefits of geometry

Riemannian LBFGS	EM	K
14s // 29.28	17s // 29.28	2
117s // 32.07	202s // 32.07	5
658s // 33.06	2159s // 33.05	10

Showing "time / negative log-likelihood (avg)"

d=35 n=200,000

github.com/utvisionlab/mixest



An alternative to EM for Gaussian mixture models: batch and stochastic Riemannian optimization R-LBFGS and Riemannian SGD (without boundedness)

Reshad Hosseini^{1,2} · Suvrit Sra³

Theorem 4 Assume a slightly modified version of SGD which output a point x_a by randomly picking one of the iterates, say x_t , with probability $p_t := (2\eta_t - L\eta_t^2)/Z_T$, where $Z_T = \sum_{t=1}^T (2\eta_t - L\eta_t^2)$. Furthermore, choose $\eta_t = \min\{L^{-1}, c\sigma^{-1}T^{-1/2}\}$ for a suitable constant c. Then, we obtain the following bound on $\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2]$, which measures the expected gap to stationarity:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2] \le \frac{2L\Delta_1}{T} + (c + c^{-1}\Delta_1)\frac{L\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right).$$
(23)

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning(4/29/21 Lecture 18)



Empirical results: Riemannian SGD



Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

Convergence Theory



G-convex functions: key definitions

$$f(\gamma_{xy}(t)) \equiv f((1-t)x \oplus ty) \leq (1-t)f(x) + tf(y)$$
$$f(x) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), \operatorname{Exp}_{y}^{-1}(x) \rangle_{y}$$
$$f(x) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), \operatorname{Exp}_{y}^{-1}(x) \rangle_{y} + \frac{\mu}{2}d^{2}(x, y)$$

Lipschitz continuity

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L_f d(x, y)$$

$$f(x) \le f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), \operatorname{Exp}_y^{-1}(x) \rangle_y + \frac{L}{2} d^2(x, y)$$

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning(4/29/21 Lecture 18)

Convergence rate: subgradient method

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning(4/29/21

Convergence rate: subgradient method

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu) 6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning (4/29/21

Convergence rate: Riemannian subgrad

$$x_{t+1} = \operatorname{Exp}_{x_t}(-\eta_t g_t)$$

$$d^{2}(x_{t+1}, x^{*})^{2} = d^{2}(\operatorname{Exp}_{x_{t}}(-\eta g_{t}), x^{*})$$
$$= d^{2}(x_{t}, x^{*}) - ??$$

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \langle -g_t, \operatorname{Exp}_{x_t}^{-1}(x^*) \rangle$$
 (g-convexity)

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2T\eta} [d^2(x_1, x^*) - d^2(x_{T+1}, x^*)] + \frac{L_f^2 \zeta \eta}{2}$$

$$d(x_1, x^*) \le D, \eta = D/(L_f \sqrt{\zeta T})$$
$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_t f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{T}}\right)$$

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning (4/29/21 Lecture 18) Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

The Euclidean law of cosines is essential to bound $d^{2}(x_{t+1}, x^{*})$ in analysis of usual convex opt. methods

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta_t g_t$$

$$x_0$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_{t+1}$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_{t+1}$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_{t+1}$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_t$$

$$x_{t+1}$$

$$x_t$$

There's a corresponding inequality to bound $d^2(x_{t+1}, x^*)$ on manifolds (and related spaces)

$$x_{t+1} = \operatorname{Exp}_{x_t}(-\eta_t g_t)$$

Based on comparison theorems in Riemannian Geometry



Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

Convergence rate: Riemannian subgrad



Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu) 6.881 Optimization

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning

Rates depend on lower bounds on sectional curvature



Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu) 6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning (4/29/

Riemannian finite-sum problems

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \quad f(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)$$

- $\cdot \ \mathcal{M}$ is a Riemannian manifold
- g-convex and g-nonconvex 'f' allowed
- First global complexity results for stochastic methods on Riemannian manifolds
- Riemannian SVRG
- Riemannian SPIDER (optimal rates)

[Zhang, Reddi, Sra, NIPS 2016] [Zhang, Zhang, Sra, 2018]



Stochastic Optimization

 $f(x) = \mathbb{E}[F(x,\xi)]$ min $x \in \mathcal{M}$

Fast stochastic optimization on Riemannian Manifolds Hongyi Zhang, Sashank Reddi, Suvrit Sra. NIPS 2016.

R-SPIDER: A Fast Riemannian Stochastic Optimization Algorithm with Curvature Independent Rate Jingzhao Zhang, Hongyi Zhang, Suvrit Sra. arXiv:1811.04194

22

Optimal rates for g-convex still open

Lemma: Let f be convex and L-smooth in a vector space, then $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \le 2L(f(x) - f(y) - \langle \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle)$ Proof in textbook!

Lemma: Let f be g-convex and Riemannian-L-smooth, then $\|\operatorname{grad} f(x) - \Gamma_y^x \operatorname{grad} f(y)\|^2 \le 2L(f(x) - f(y) - \langle \nabla f(y), \operatorname{Exp}_y^{-1}(x) \rangle)$ Open problem



Accelerated gradient

An Estimate Sequence for Geodesically Convex Optimization. Hongyi Zhang, Suvrit Sra. 31th Annual Conference on Learning Theory (COLT'18).

From Nesterov's Estimate Sequence to Riemannian Acceleration Kwangjun Ahn, Suvrit Sra 33rd Annual Conference on Learning Theory (COLT'20)

 $x_{t+1} \leftarrow y_t + \alpha_{t+1}(z_t - y_t)$ $y_{t+1} \leftarrow x_{t+1} - \gamma_{t+1}\nabla f(x_{t+1})$ $z_{t+1} \leftarrow x_{t+1} + \beta_{t+1}(z_t - x_{t+1}) - \eta_{t+1}\nabla f(x_{t+1})$

Nesterov's AGM

Riemannnian AGM

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \operatorname{Exp}_{y_t} \left(\alpha_{t+1} \operatorname{Exp}_{y_t}^{-1} (z_t) \right)$$

$$y_{t+1} \leftarrow \operatorname{Exp}_{x_{t+1}} \left(-\gamma_{t+1} \nabla f(x_{t+1}) \right)$$

$$z_{t+1} \leftarrow \operatorname{Exp}_{x_{t+1}} \left(\beta_{t+1} \operatorname{Exp}_{x_{t+1}}^{-1} (z_t) - \eta_{t+1} \nabla f(x_{t+1}) \right)$$

Suvrit Sra (suvrit@mit.edu)

6.881 Optimization for Machine Learning



Accelerated gradient

From Nesterov's Estimate Sequence to Riemannian Acceleration Kwangjun Ahn, Suvrit Sra 33rd Annual Conference on Learning Theory (COLT'20)

Theorem 1.1 (Informal) Let f be L-smooth and μ -strongly convex in a geodesic sense. Then, there exists a computationally tractable optimization algorithm satisfying

$$f(x_t) - f(x_*) = O\left((1 - \xi_1)(1 - \xi_2) \cdots (1 - \xi_t)\right),$$

where $\{\xi_t\}$ satisfies (i) $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 1} > \mu/L$ (strictly faster than gradient descent); and (ii) $\exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $|\xi_t - \sqrt{\mu/L}| \le \epsilon$, for $t \ge \Omega(\frac{\log(1/\epsilon)}{\log(1/\lambda)})$ (eventually achieves full acceleration).

Challenge: deciding what ξ_t should be, remaining implementable



Riemannian Frank-Wolfe

Riemannian Frank-Wolfe and Stochastic Frank-Wolfe Methods Melanie Weber, Suvrit Sra arXiv:1910.04194, arXiv:1710.10770

 $\min_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \quad f(x)$
s.t. $x \in \mathcal{X}$

Projection-free methods for constrained optimization (involves non-convex subproblems though)

26

Some other works

Proximal point method for vector optimization on Hadamard manifolds Glaydston de C.Bento, Orizon P.Ferreira, Yuri R.L.Pereira

What do `convexities' imply on Hadamard manifolds? Alexandru Kristály, Chong Li, Genaro Lopez, Adriana Nicolae



Convex Analysis and Optimization in Hadamard Spaces Miroslav Bacak, 2014 de Gruyter Publishers

Global rates of convergence for nonconvex optimization on manifolds Nicolas Boumal, P-A Absil, Coralia Cartis

Averaging Stochastic Gradient Descent on Riemannian Manifolds Nilesh Tripuraneni, Nicolas Flammarion, Francis Bach, Michael I. Jordan

Optimization Techniques on Riemannian Manifolds Steven Thomas Smith

...and many others

