Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

On inequalities for normalized Schur functions

Suvrit Sra

Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 02139, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 February 2015 Accepted 17 July 2015

ABSTRACT

We prove a conjecture of Cuttler et al. (2011) on the monotonicity of *normalized Schur functions* under the usual (dominance) partialorder on partitions. We believe that our proof technique may be helpful in obtaining similar inequalities for other symmetric functions.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

We prove a conjecture of Cuttler et al. [1] on the monotonicity of normalized Schur functions under the majorization (dominance) partial-order on integer partitions.

Schur functions are one of the most important bases for the algebra of symmetric functions. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a tuple of *n* real variables. Schur functions of \mathbf{x} are indexed by integer partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, where $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$, and can be written as the following ratio of determinants [7, pg. 49], [5, (3.1)]:

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \frac{\det([x_i^{\lambda_j + n - j}]_{i,j=1}^n)}{\det([x_i^{n - j}]_{i,j=1}^n)}.$$
(0.1)

To each Schur function $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ we can associate the *normalized Schur function*

$$S_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \frac{s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{s_{\lambda}(1, \dots, 1)} = \frac{s_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x})}{s_{\lambda}(1^n)}.$$
(0.2)

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be decreasingly ordered. We say λ is *majorized* by μ , denoted $\lambda \prec \mu$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \stackrel{\leq}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i.$$
(0.3)

E-mail address: suvrit@mit.edu.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2015.07.005





European Journal of Combinatorics

^{0195-6698/© 2015} Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Cuttler et al. [1] studied normalized Schur functions (0.2) among other symmetric functions, and derived inequalities for them under the partial-order (0.3). They also conjectured related inequalities, of which perhaps Conjecture 1 is the most important.

Conjecture 1 ([1]). Let λ and μ be partitions; and let $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$. Then,

$$S_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \leq S_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}), \text{ if and only if } \lambda \prec \mu.$$

Cuttler et al. [1] established necessity (i.e., $S_{\lambda} \leq S_{\mu}$ only if $\lambda \prec \mu$), but sufficiency was left open. We prove sufficiency in this paper.

Theorem 2. Let λ and μ be partitions such that $\lambda \prec \mu$, and let $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$. Then,

$$S_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq S_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Our proof technique differs completely from [1]: instead of taking a direct algebraic approach, we invoke a well-known integral from random matrix theory. We believe that our approach might extend to yield inequalities for other symmetric polynomials such as Jack polynomials [4] or even Hall–Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials [5].

1. Majorization inequality for Schur polynomials

Our main idea is to represent normalized Schur polynomials (0.2) using an integral compatible with the partial-order ' \prec '. One such integral is the *Harish-Chandra–Itzykson–Zuber (HCIZ)* integral [2,3]:

$$I(A, B) := \int_{U(n)} e^{\operatorname{tr}(U^* A U B)} dU = c_n \frac{\operatorname{det}([e^{a_i b_j}]_{i,j=1}^n)}{\Delta(\boldsymbol{a}) \Delta(\boldsymbol{b})},$$
(1.1)

where dU is the Haar probability measure on the unitary group U(n); **a** and **b** are vectors of eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrices A and B; Δ is the Vandermonde determinant $\Delta(\mathbf{a}) := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_j - a_i)$; and c_n is the constant

$$c_n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!\right) = \Delta([1, \dots, n]) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (j-i).$$
(1.2)

The following observation [2] is of central importance to us.

Proposition 3. Let A be a Hermitian matrix, λ an integer partition, and B the diagonal matrix $\text{Diag}([\lambda_j + n - j]_{j=1}^n)$. Then,

$$\frac{s_{\lambda}(e^{a_1},\ldots,e^{a_n})}{s_{\lambda}(1,\ldots,1)} = \frac{1}{E(A)}I(A,B),$$
(1.3)

where the product E(A) is given by

$$E(A) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{e^{a_i} - e^{a_j}}{a_i - a_j}.$$
(1.4)

Proof. Recall from Weyl's dimension formula that

$$s_{\lambda}(1,\ldots,1) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{(\lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_j - j)}{j - i}.$$
(1.5)

Now use identity (1.5), definition (1.2), and the ratio (0.1) in (1.1), to obtain (1.3). \Box

Assume without loss of generality that for each i, $x_i > 0$ (for $x_i = 0$, apply the usual continuity argument). Then, there exist reals a_1, \ldots, a_n such that $e^{a_i} = x_i$, whereby

$$S_{\lambda}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{s_{\lambda}(e^{\log x_1},\ldots,e^{\log x_n})}{s_{\lambda}(1,\ldots,1)} = \frac{I(\log X,B(\lambda))}{E(\log X)},$$
(1.6)

where $X = \text{Diag}([x_i]_{i=1}^n)$; we write $B(\lambda)$ to explicitly indicate *B*'s dependence on λ as in Proposition 3. Since $E(\log X) > 0$, to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to prove Theorem 4 instead.

Theorem 4. Let X be an arbitrary Hermitian matrix. Define the map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(\lambda) := I(X, \operatorname{Diag}(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

r

Then, F is Schur-convex, i.e., if $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\lambda \prec \mu$, then $F(\lambda) \leq F(\mu)$.

Proof. We know from [6, Proposition C.2, pg. 97] that a convex and symmetric function is Schurconvex. From the HCIZ integral (1.1) symmetry of *F* is apparent; to establish its convexity it suffices to demonstrate midpoint convexity:

$$F\left(\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{2}F(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}F(\mu) \quad \text{for } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(1.7)

The elementary manipulations below show that inequality (1.7) holds.

$$F\left(\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}\right) = \int_{U(n)} \exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}\left(\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}\right)\right]\right) dU$$

$$= \int_{U(n)} \exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[\frac{1}{2}U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}(\mu)\right]\right) dU$$

$$= \int_{U(n)} \sqrt{\exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}(\lambda)\right]\right) \cdot \exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}(\mu)\right]\right)} dU$$

$$\leq \int_{U(n)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}(\lambda)\right]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left[U^*XU\operatorname{Diag}(\mu)\right]\right)\right) dU$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}F(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}F(\mu),$$

where the inequality follows from the arithmetic-mean geometric-mean inequality. \Box

Corollary 5. Conjecture 1 is true.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to a referee for uncovering an egregious error in my initial attempt at Theorem 4; thanks also to the same or different referee for the valuable feedback and encouragement. I thank Jonathan Novak (MIT) for his help with HCIZ references.

References

- [1] A. Cuttler, C. Greene, M. Skandera, Inequalities for symmetric means, European J. Combin. 32 (6) (2011) 745–761.
- [2] Harish-Chandra, Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra, Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957) 87–120.
- [3] C. Itzykson, J.B. Zuber, The planar approximation. II, J. Math. Phys. 21 (3) (1980) 411-421.
- [4] H. Jack, A class of symmetric polynomials with a parameter, in: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, vol. LXIX, 1970. Section A.
- [5] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, second ed., Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [6] A.W. Marshall, I. Olkin, B.C. Arnold, Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications, second ed., Springer, 2011.
- [7] I. Schur, Über eine Klasse von Matrizen, die sich einer gegebenen Matrix zuordnen lassen (Ph.D. thesis), Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität zu Berlin, 1901.